arXiv:1702.07765v2 [math.AC] 24 Mar 2017

LINEARITY IN MINIMAL RESOLUTIONS OF MONOMIAL IDEALS

LUKAS KATTHÄN

ABSTRACT. Let $S = \Bbbk[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ be a polynomial ring over a field $\Bbbk$ and let M be a graded S-module with minimal free resolution $\mathbb{F}_\bullet$. Its linear part $\operatorname{lin}(\mathbb{F}_\bullet)$ is obtained by deleting all non-linear entries from the differential of $\mathbb{F}_\bullet$.

Our first result is an elementary description of $\operatorname{lin}(\mathbb{F}_\bullet)$ in the case that M is the Stanley-Reisner ring of a simplicial complex Δ. Indeed, the differential of $\operatorname{lin}(\mathbb{F}_\bullet)$ is simply a compilation of restriction maps in the simplicial cohomology of induced subcomplexes of Δ.

Our second result concerns the linearity defect of M, which is the largest i such that $H_i(\operatorname{lin}(\mathbb{F}_\bullet)) \neq 0$. We show that the linearity defect of M is also the largest i, such that there exists a $g \in \mathbb{F}_i$ with $g \notin \mathfrak{m}\mathbb{F}_i$ and $\mathrm{d}g \in \mathfrak{m}^2\mathbb{F}_{i-1}$.

Combining these two results, we obtain a description of the linearity defect of (the Stanley-Reisner ideal of) a simplicial complex, as well as a characterization of its componentwise linearity.

Along the way, we also show that if a monomial ideal has at least one generator of degree 2, then the linear strand of its resolution can be written using only ± 1 coefficients.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $\Bbbk$ be a field and $S = \Bbbk[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ be a polynomial ring over it, endowed with the standard $\mathbb{Z}$-grading. Consider a finitely generated graded S-module M, and its minimal free resolution $\mathbb{F}_\bullet$.

The *linear part* [EFS03] $\operatorname{lin}(\mathbb{F}_\bullet)$ of $\mathbb{F}_\bullet$ has the same modules as $\mathbb{F}_\bullet$, and its differential d^{lin} is obtained from the differential d of $\mathbb{F}_\bullet$ by deleting all non-linear entries in the matrices representing d in some basis of $\mathbb{F}_\bullet$.

Our first result is an explicit description of $\operatorname{lin}(\mathbb{F}_\bullet)$ in the case where $M = \Bbbk[\Delta]$ is the Stanley-Reisner ring of a simplicial complex Δ. It is well-known that $\mathbb{F}_\bullet$ is multigraded and generated as S-module in squarefree multidegrees. For simplicity we identify squarefree multidegrees with subsets of $[n] := \{1, \dots, n\}$. We are going

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 05E40; Secondary: 13D02,13F55,16W50.

Key words and phrases. Monomial ideal; Stanley-Reisner ring; Linear Part; Linearity defect; Componentwise linear.

The author was supported by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG), grant KA 4128/2-1.



FIGURE 1. The induced subcomplexes of Δ from Example 1.2. The arrows indicate non-zero linear coefficients.

to use Hochster's formula, which states that

$$\operatorname{Tor}_i^S(\Bbbk[\Delta],\Bbbk)_U \cong \widetilde{H}^{\#U-i-1}(\Delta_U;\Bbbk),$$

where $U \subseteq [n]$ is a squarefree multidgree and $\Delta_U := \{F \in \Delta : F \subseteq U\}$ is the *restriction* of Δ to U. To simplify the notation, we set $U \setminus u := U \setminus \{u\}$ and $\widetilde{H}^j(\Delta_U) := \widetilde{H}^j(\Delta_U;\Bbbk)$ for $u \in U \subseteq [n]$ and $j \in \mathbb{N}$. By Hochster's formula, $\operatorname{lin}(\mathbb{F}_i)$ is isomorphic to the direct sum of modules of the form $\widetilde{H}^{\#U-i-1}(\Delta_U) \otimes_\Bbbk S(-U)$ for $U \subset [n]$. The differential d^{lin} turns out to be simply a compilation of all the restriction maps $\widetilde{H}^i(\Delta_U) \to \widetilde{H}^i(\Delta_{U\setminus u}), \omega \mapsto \omega|_{U\setminus u}$, induced by the inclusions $\Delta_{U\setminus u} \subset \Delta_U$. In the following theorem, we use the notation $\alpha(u,U) = \#\{k \in U, k < u\}$, where $u \in [n]$.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $\Bbbk[\Delta]$ be the Stanley-Reisner ring of a simplicial complex Δ and let $\mathbb{F}_\bullet$ denote its minimal free resolution. The linear part $\operatorname{lin}(\mathbb{F}_\bullet)$ of $\mathbb{F}_\bullet$ is isomorphic to the complex with modules*

$$\operatorname{lin}(\mathbb{F}_i) = \bigoplus_{U\subseteq[n]} \widetilde{H}^{\#U-i-1}(\Delta_U) \otimes_\Bbbk S(-U),$$

and the components of differential are given by

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \widetilde{H}^j(\Delta_U) \otimes_\Bbbk S(-U) & \longrightarrow & \widetilde{H}^j(\Delta_{U\setminus u}) \otimes_\Bbbk S(-U\setminus u) \\ \omega \otimes s & \longmapsto & (-1)^{\alpha(u,U)}\omega|_{U\setminus u} \otimes x_u s \end{array}$$

This extends the result of Reiner and Welker [RW01], which describes the maps in the linear strand of $\mathbb{F}_\bullet$. An alternative description of $\operatorname{lin}(\mathbb{F}_\bullet)$ in terms of the Alexander dual of Δ was given by Yanagawa [Yan00, Theorem 4.1].

Example 1.2. Let Δ be the simplical complex with vertex set $\{a, b, c, d, e\}$ and facets $\{a, c, d\}, \{b, d, e\}, \{c, d, e\}$ and $\{b, c\}$. Its Stanley-Reisner ideal is $I_\Delta = \langle ab, ac, bcd, bce\rangle$. A minimal free resolution $\mathbb{F}_\bullet$ is given by the following complex:

$$0 \leftarrow S \xleftarrow{\begin{matrix} & ab & ac & bcd & bce \\ 1 & \begin{pmatrix} ab & ac & bcd & bce \end{pmatrix}\end{matrix}} S^4 \xleftarrow{\begin{matrix} & abc & abcd & abce & bcde \\ ab & -\mathbf{c} & cd & ce & 0 \\ ac & \mathbf{d} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ bcd & 0 & -\mathbf{a} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{e} \\ bce & 0 & \mathbf{0} & -\mathbf{a} & -\mathbf{d} \end{matrix}} S^4 \xleftarrow{\begin{matrix} & abcde \\ abc & 0 \\ abcd & \mathbf{e} \\ abce & -\mathbf{d} \\ bcde & \mathbf{a} \end{matrix}} S \leftarrow 0$$

The linear entries are marked in boldface. We indicate the relevant induced subcomplexes of Δ in Figure 1. There, the arrows indicate non-zero linear entries in the matrices of $\mathbb{F}_\bullet$. They correspond to non-zero restriction maps in the zero- or one-dimensional cohomology.

As a special case of Theorem 1.1, we obtain a very simple and explicit description of the 1-linear strand of $\mathbb{F}_\bullet$ (this is the strand containing the *quadratic* generators of I_Δ, cf. Definition 3.3). In particular, we show that the maps in the 1-linear strand can always be written using only ± 1 coefficients, see Corollary 4.2. This extends and simplifies the results of Horwitz [Hor07] and Chen [Che10], who constructed the minimal free resolution of I_Δ under the assumption that I_Δ is generated by quadrics and has a linear resolution.

We now consider a more general situation. Let $J \subseteq S$ be a homogeneous ideal (with respect to the $\mathbb{Z}$-grading) and let $R := S/J$. Let M be a finitely generated $\mathbb{Z}$-graded R-module with minimal free resolution $\mathbb{F}_\bullet$ (over R). The *linearity defect* of M is

$$\operatorname{ld}(M) = \sup\{i : H_i(\operatorname{lin}(\mathbb{F}_\bullet)) \neq 0\}.$$

This invariant was introduced in Herzog and Iyengar [HI05] and studied recently by a number of authors [Röm01; IR09; Yan09; Şeg13; Ngu15; NV16]. In particular, Okazaki and Yanagawa [OY07] showed that the linearity defect of a Stanley-Reisner ideal I_Δ depends only on the homeomorphism type of the Alexander dual $\Delta^\vee$. In contrast to that, we are going to express it in terms of Δ itself, using Theorem 1.1. For this, we first give a convenient reformulation of the linearity defect. Şega [Şeg13] considered the maps

$$\nu_i^\ell(M) : \operatorname{Tor}_i^R(M, R/\mathfrak{m}^{\ell+1}) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Tor}_i^R(M, R/\mathfrak{m}^\ell),$$

which are induced by the projections $R/\mathfrak{m}^{\ell+1} \to R/\mathfrak{m}^\ell$, where $\mathfrak{m} := (x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ is the irrelevant ideal in R. It is not difficult to see that the elements in the image of $\nu_i^1(M)$ correspond to elements $g \in \mathbb{F}_i$ with $g \notin \mathfrak{m}\mathbb{F}_i$ and $\mathrm{d}g \in \mathfrak{m}^2\mathbb{F}_{i-1}$ [Şeg13, p. 208]. In [Şeg13, Theorem 2.2], the linearity defect of a module over a local ring is characterized in terms of the vanishing of the $\nu_i^\ell(M)$. It turns out that in our graded situation, it is enough to consider $\nu_i^1(M)$:

Theorem 1.3. *Let M be a finitely generated $\mathbb{Z}$-graded R-module with minimal free resolution $\mathbb{F}_\bullet$. Then*

$$\operatorname{ld}(M) = \sup\{i : \nu_i^1(M) \neq 0\}.$$

In particular, M is componentwise linear if and only if for all $i > 0$, every $g \in \mathbb{F}_i$ with $\mathrm{d}g \in \mathfrak{m}^2\mathbb{F}_{i-1}$ has $g \in \mathfrak{m}\mathbb{F}_i$.

In this article, we apply the preceding theorem only in the case $R = S$, but we consider the extra generality to be of independent interest. By combining Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.3, one immediately obtains a description of the linearity defect of a Stanley-Reisner ideal (Corollary 4.3), as well as a characterization of componentwise linear Stanley-Reisner ideals:

Corollary 1.4. *Let Δ be a simplicial complex. The following are equivalent:*

1. *The Stanley-Reisner ideal I_Δ is componentwise linear.*
2. *For every subset $U \subseteq [n]$ and every $\omega \in \widetilde{H}^*(\Delta_U), \omega \neq 0$, the following holds: If $\#U > \dim \omega + 2$, then there exists a vertex $u \in U$ such that the restriction $\omega|_{U\setminus u} \in \widetilde{H}^*(\Delta_{U\setminus u})$ is nonzero.*
3. *For every subset $U \subseteq [n]$, each homology class $[z] \in \widetilde{H}_*(\Delta_U)$ is represented by a sum of complete cycles.*
4. *Each cycle $z \in \widetilde{C}_\bullet(\Delta)$ can be written as a sum of complete cycles, using only vertices from the support of z.*

Here, $\widetilde{C}_\bullet(\Delta)$ denotes the simplical chains of Δ with coefficients in $\Bbbk$. Further, a cycle $z \in \widetilde{C}_\bullet(\Delta)$ is *complete* if it is the boundary of a simplex, and its *support* is the set of all vertices of all faces appearing in it.

This article is structured as follows. In Section 2 we set up several notational conventions. Then, in Section 3 we study the linearity defect and prove Theorem 1.3. In the subsequent Section 4 we specialize our consideration to Stanley-Reisner rings. In particular, we prove Theorem 1.1 and Corollary 1.4. In the last section of this article, we ask several open questions and pose a conjecture.

Acknowledgments. The author thanks Vic Reiner for many inspiring discussions.

2. Notation

For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we write $[n] := \{1, \dots, n\}$. For $U \subseteq [n]$ and $u \in [n]$ we define $U \setminus u := U \setminus \{u\}$ and $U \cup u := U \cup \{u\}$.

Throughout the paper let $\Bbbk$ denote a fixed field and $S = \Bbbk[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ be a polynomial ring over it. We will consider both the standard $\mathbb{Z}$-grading and the fine $\mathbb{Z}^n$-grading on S. Squarefree multidegrees are identified with subsets of $[n]$. In particular, for $U \subseteq [n]$, we write $S(-U)$ for the free cyclic S-module whose generator is in degree U.

3. Linearity defect and componentwise linearity

Let $J \subseteq S$ be a homogeneous ideal, let $R := S/J$ and let M be a finitely generated $\mathbb{Z}$-graded R-module. The goal of this section is to prove Theorem 1.3, which describes the linearity defect of M, as well as a criterion for M to be componentwise linear.

We start by recalling the definitions. Every finitely generated $\mathbb{Z}$-graded R-module M has a (possibly infinite) minimal free resolution

$$\mathbb{F}_\bullet : 0 \longleftarrow M \longleftarrow \mathbb{F}_0 \xleftarrow{\mathrm{d}_1} \cdots \xleftarrow{\mathrm{d}_i} \mathbb{F}_i \longleftarrow \cdots,$$

where the differential d_i is homogeneous and each $\mathbb{F}_i$ is a free R-module. Moreover, $\mathbb{F}_\bullet$ is unique up to graded isomorphism. There is a natural filtration on $\mathbb{F}_\bullet$, which is given by

$$\mathcal{F}^j(\mathbb{F}_i) := \mathfrak{m}^{j-i}\mathbb{F}_i.$$

The associated graded complex $\operatorname{lin}(\mathbb{F}_\bullet)$ is called the *linear part* of $\mathbb{F}_\bullet$. Is was introduced in [EFS03], but see also [HSV83, Chapter 5]. Note that $\operatorname{lin}(\mathbb{F}_i) \cong \mathbb{F}_i$ as R-modules, but the differentials on the complexes are different. Indeed, $\operatorname{lin}(\mathbb{F}_\bullet)$ can be constructed alternatively by choosing a basis for $\mathbb{F}_\bullet$, representing its differential in this basis by matrices, and deleting all non-linear entries, that is, entries in $\mathfrak{m}^2$.

Componentwise linear ideals were introduced by Herzog and Hibi in [HH99]. The definition was later generalized to modules by Yanagawa [Yan00, Def. 4.8].

Definition 3.1. For $k \in \mathbb{N}$, let $M_{\langle k \rangle} \subseteq M$ denote the submodule of M generated by all elements of degree k. M is called *componentwise linear* if $M_{\langle k \rangle}$ has a linear resolution for all k.

The *linearity defect* [HI05] of M is defined as

$$\operatorname{ld}(M) := \sup\{i : \ H_i(\operatorname{lin}(\mathbb{F}_\bullet)) \neq 0\}.$$

By [Yan00, Prop. 4.9], M is componentwise linear if and only if $\operatorname{ld} M = 0$, so the linearity defect measures the failure of M to be componentwise linear. See also [IR09, Theorem 5.6] for a generalization of this.

It turns out to be more convenient to work with a filtration on $\mathbb{F}_\bullet$ which is different from the one used to define $\operatorname{lin}(\mathbb{F}_\bullet)$. For this, consider a non-zero homogeneous element $a \in \mathbb{F}_i$. We write $\deg(a)$ for the degree of a with respect to the standard $\mathbb{Z}$-grading on R and we set $||a|| := \deg(a) - i$. Let $\mathbb{F}_\bullet^{\leq k} \subseteq \mathbb{F}_\bullet$ be the submodule generated by all elements $a \in \mathbb{F}_\bullet$ with $||a|| \leq k$. This is a subcomplex of $\mathbb{F}_\bullet$, and we call the filtration

$$\cdots \subseteq \mathbb{F}_\bullet^{\leq k-1} \subseteq \mathbb{F}_\bullet^{\leq k} \subseteq \mathbb{F}_\bullet^{\leq k+1} \subseteq \cdots$$

the *strand filtration* of $\mathbb{F}_\bullet$. Note that if k_0 is the smallest degree of a generator of M, then $\mathbb{F}_\bullet^{\leq k_0 - 1} = 0$ and $\mathbb{F}_\bullet^{\leq k_0}$ is the k_0-*linear strand* of $\mathbb{F}_\bullet$. Moreover, let us define

$$|a|_{\mathbb{F}} := \min(k : \ a \in \mathbb{F}_\bullet^{\leq k}), \text{ and}$$
$$|a|_{\mathfrak{m}} := \max(k : \ a \in \mathfrak{m}^k \mathbb{F}_\bullet).$$

The following lemma collects some properties of these notions.

Lemma 3.2. *Let $a \in \mathbb{F}_i, a \neq 0$ be homogeneous for some i. Then the following holds:*

(1) $|a|_{\mathfrak{m}} = 0 \iff a \notin \mathfrak{m}\mathbb{F}_\bullet$,
(2) (a) $||\mathrm{d}a|| = ||a|| + 1$,
(b) $|\mathrm{d}a|_{\mathfrak{m}} \geq |a|_{\mathfrak{m}} + 1$,
(c) $|\mathrm{d}a|_{\mathbb{F}} \leq |a|_{\mathbb{F}}$, *and*
(3) $||a|| = |a|_{\mathbb{F}} + |a|_{\mathfrak{m}}$.

Proof. Parts (1) and (2) are immediate from the definitions, so we only need to prove (3). First, assume that $a \notin \mathfrak{m}\mathbb{F}_\bullet$, so we need to show that $||a|| = |a|_{\mathbb{F}}$. Let $k = ||a||$. By definition, $a \in \mathbb{F}_\bullet^{\leq k}$ and thus $k \geq |a|_{\mathbb{F}}$. Assume for the contrary that $k > |a|_{\mathbb{F}}$. Then a can be written as a sum $\sum_j f_j a_j$ where $f_j \in R$ and $a_j \in \mathbb{F}_\bullet^{\leq k-1}$. Now $\deg(a_j) = ||a_j|| + i < k + i = \deg(a)$ and thus $\deg(f_j) > 0$ for all j. But this implies that $a \in \mathfrak{m}\mathbb{F}_i$, contradicting our assumption.

Now we turn to the general case. Choose an R-basis $\mathcal{B}$ of $\mathbb{F}_i$ consisting of homogeneous elements and expand a in this basis:

$$a = \sum_{b \in \mathcal{B}} f_b b$$

Then $|a|_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is the minimum of the degrees of the f_b and $|a|_{\mathbb{F}}$ is the maximum of $|b|_{\mathbb{F}}$ for $b \in \mathcal{B}$. Note that $|b|_{\mathbb{F}} = ||b|| = \deg(b) - i$ for each $b \in \mathcal{B}$ by the argument above, so instead of maximizing $|b|_{\mathbb{F}}$ we can also maximize $\deg(b)$. Further, the sum $\deg(f_b) + \deg(b)$ does not depend on b because a is homogeneous, and so those b which minimize $\deg(f_b)$ also maximize $\deg(b)$. For such an element b, it holds that $|a|_{\mathfrak{m}} = \deg(f_b)$, $|a|_{\mathbb{F}} = |b|_{\mathbb{F}}$ and thus

$$\begin{aligned} ||a|| = ||f_b b|| = \deg(f_b b) - i = \deg(f_b) + \deg b - i \\ = |a|_{\mathfrak{m}} + |b|_{\mathbb{F}} = |a|_{\mathfrak{m}} + |a|_{\mathbb{F}}. \end{aligned}$$

□

The next step is to show that the strand filtration gives an alternative description of the linear part. By the construction of $\mathrm{lin}(\mathbb{F}_\bullet)$ as an associated graded complex, there is a canonical isomorphism $\mathrm{lin}(\mathbb{F}_i) \cong \mathbb{F}_i/\mathfrak{m}\mathbb{F}_i \otimes_{\Bbbk} R$, where R is actually its associated graded ring, but the latter is isomorphic to R. Now $\mathbb{F}_i/\mathfrak{m}\mathbb{F}_i = \mathrm{Tor}_i^R(M, \Bbbk)$ is a graded $\Bbbk$-vector space, so we obtain a decomposition $\mathrm{lin}(\mathbb{F}_i) = \bigoplus_j \mathrm{lin}(\mathbb{F}_i)_j$ with

$$\mathrm{lin}(\mathbb{F}_i)_j := \mathrm{Tor}_i^R(M, \Bbbk)_j \otimes_{\Bbbk} R.$$

Definition 3.3. The *j-linear strand* $\mathrm{lin}(\mathbb{F}_\bullet)^{\langle j \rangle}$ of $\mathrm{lin}(\mathbb{F}_\bullet)$ is the subcomplex of $\mathrm{lin}(\mathbb{F}_\bullet)$ with the module $\mathrm{lin}(\mathbb{F}_i)_{i+j}$ in homological degree i.

The linear strands are indeed subcomplexes of $\mathrm{lin}(\mathbb{F}_\bullet)$ because the differential on $\mathrm{lin}(\mathbb{F}_\bullet)$ is linear, and its holds that

$$\mathrm{lin}(\mathbb{F}_\bullet) = \bigoplus_j \mathrm{lin}(\mathbb{F}_\bullet)^{\langle j \rangle}$$

Lemma 3.4. *The k-linear strand $\operatorname{lin}(\mathbb{F}_\bullet)^{\langle k\rangle}$ of $\operatorname{lin}(\mathbb{F}_\bullet)$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{F}_\bullet^{\leq k}/\mathbb{F}_\bullet^{\leq k-1}$.*

Proof. We work with a fixed homogeneous R-basis $\mathcal{B}$ of $\mathbb{F}_\bullet$. On the one hand, $\operatorname{lin}(\mathbb{F}_\bullet)^{\langle k\rangle}$ is spanned by the elements $b \in \mathcal{B}$ with $||b|| = k$ and deleting all non-linear entries from the matrices representing the differential (see [Yan00, Section 4]).

On the other hand, $\mathbb{F}_i^{\leq k}$ is the subcomplex spanned by the basis elements $b \in \mathcal{B}$ with $||b|| \leq k$, so $\mathbb{F}_i^{\leq k}/\mathbb{F}_i^{\leq k-1}$ is also spanned by the elements $b \in \mathcal{B}$ with $||b|| = k$. Consider a basis element $b_0 \in \mathbb{F}_i^{\leq k} \cap \mathcal{B}$ with $||b_0|| = k$. Then we can write $\mathrm{d}b_0 = \sum_{b\in\mathcal{B}} f_b b$ with $f_b \in R$. For each b, it holds that $k = ||b_0|| = ||f_b b|| - 1 = \deg(f_b) + |b|_{\mathbb{F}} - 1$, and hence $b \in \mathbb{F}_{i-1}^{\leq k-1}$ if and only if $f_b \in \mathfrak{m}^2$. Therefore, the differential on $\bigoplus_k \mathbb{F}_\bullet^{\leq k}/\mathbb{F}_\bullet^{\leq k-1}$ is obtained by deleting the matrix entries in $\mathfrak{m}^2$, and thus this complex is isomorphic to $\operatorname{lin}(\mathbb{F}_\bullet)$. □

Recall that $\nu_i^1(M)$ is the map $\nu_i^1(M) : \operatorname{Tor}_i^R(M, R/\mathfrak{m}^2) \to \operatorname{Tor}_i^R(M, R/\mathfrak{m})$ induced by the projection $R/\mathfrak{m}^2 \to R/\mathfrak{m} = \Bbbk$. The following is almost obvious, but we include it for ease of reference.

Lemma 3.5 ([Şeg13, §2.3])**.** *It holds that $\nu_i^1(M) = 0$ if and only if every $g \in \mathbb{F}_i$ with $\mathrm{d}g \in \mathfrak{m}^2\mathbb{F}_{i-1}$ has $g \in \mathfrak{m}\mathbb{F}_i$.*

The next lemma is the key step in our proof of Theorem 1.3.

Lemma 3.6. *The following are equivalent for $i \geq 0$:*

(1) $H_{i-1}(\mathbb{F}_\bullet^{\leq k}) = 0$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, and
(2) $\nu_i^1(M) = 0$.

Proof. (1) ⇒ (2): Assume that $\nu_i^1(M) \neq 0$ and $H_{i-1}(\mathbb{F}_\bullet^{\leq k}) = 0$. By Lemma 3.5, there exists an element $g \in \mathbb{F}_i$ with $g \notin \mathfrak{m}\mathbb{F}_i$ and $\mathrm{d}g \in \mathfrak{m}^2\mathbb{F}_{i-1}$. By Lemma 3.2 we compute that

$$|\mathrm{d}g|_{\mathbb{F}} = ||\mathrm{d}g|| - |\mathrm{d}g|_{\mathfrak{m}} = ||g|| + 1 - |\mathrm{d}g|_{\mathfrak{m}} \leq ||g|| + 1 - 2 = |g|_{\mathbb{F}} - 1$$

Let $k := |\mathrm{d}g|_{\mathbb{F}}$, so that $\mathrm{d}g \in \mathbb{F}_{i-1}^{\leq k}$. Since $\mathrm{d}\mathrm{d}g = 0$, the hypothesis that $H_{i-1}(\mathbb{F}_\bullet^{\leq k}) = 0$ implies that there exists $g' \in \mathbb{F}_i^{\leq k}$ with $\mathrm{d}g' = \mathrm{d}g$. Note that

$$|g'|_{\mathbb{F}} \leq k = |\mathrm{d}g|_{\mathbb{F}} \leq |g|_{\mathbb{F}} - 1,$$

and also

$$||g'|| = ||\mathrm{d}g'|| + 1 = ||\mathrm{d}g|| + 1 = ||g||.$$

It follows that $|g'|_{\mathfrak{m}} = ||g'|| - |g'|_{\mathbb{F}} \geq ||g|| - |g|_{\mathbb{F}} + 1 = 1$, so $g' \in \mathfrak{m}\mathbb{F}_i$. Hence $g - g' \notin \mathfrak{m}\mathbb{F}_i$, but $\mathrm{d}(g - g') = 0$, contradicting the minimality of $\mathbb{F}_\bullet$.

(2) ⇒ (1): Let $Z(\mathbb{F}_{i-1}^{\leq k})$ denote the submodule of cycles. Assume that $H_{i-1}(\mathbb{F}_\bullet^{\leq k}) \neq 0$ for some k. Then there exists a (homogeneous) cycle $h \in Z(\mathbb{F}_{i-1}^{\leq k})$ which is not a boundary. We may assume that $h \notin \mathfrak{m}Z(\mathbb{F}_{i-1}^{\leq k})$, because $Z(\mathbb{F}_{i-1}^{\leq k})$ is generated by these elements and if each generator were a boundary, then the complex would be exact.

As $\mathbb{F}_\bullet$ is exact, there exists a $g \in \mathbb{F}_i$ with $\mathrm{d}g = h$. Further, $h \notin \mathfrak{m}Z(\mathbb{F}_{i-1}^{\leq k})$ implies that $g \notin \mathfrak{m}\mathbb{F}_i$. We assumed that h is not a boundary in $\mathbb{F}_\bullet^{\leq k}$, so $g \notin \mathbb{F}_i^{\leq k}$ and thus $|g|_{\mathbb{F}} > k \geq |h|_{\mathbb{F}} = |\mathrm{d}g|_{\mathbb{F}}$. It follows that

$$|\mathrm{d}g|_{\mathfrak{m}} = ||\mathrm{d}g|| - |\mathrm{d}g|_{\mathbb{F}} > ||g|| + 1 - |g|_{\mathbb{F}} = |g|_{\mathfrak{m}} + 1 = 1,$$

and hence $\mathrm{d}g \in \mathfrak{m}^2\mathbb{F}_i$. Thus, $\nu_i^1(M) \neq 0$ by Lemma 3.5.

□

Now we can give the proof of Theorem 1.3. We recall the statement.

Theorem 1.3. *Let M be a finitely generated $\mathbb{Z}$-graded R-module with minimal free resolution $\mathbb{F}_\bullet$. Then*

$$\mathrm{ld}(M) = \sup\{i : \nu_i^1(M) \neq 0\}.$$

In particular, M is componentwise linear if and only if for all $i > 0$, every $g \in \mathbb{F}_i$ with $\mathrm{d}g \in \mathfrak{m}^2\mathbb{F}_{i-1}$ has $g \in \mathfrak{m}\mathbb{F}_i$.

Proof. By Lemma 3.4, the linearity defect of M is given by

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{ld}(M) &= \sup\{i : H_i(\mathrm{lin}(\mathbb{F}_\bullet)) \neq 0\} \\ &= \sup\{i : H_i(\mathbb{F}_\bullet^{\leq k+1}/\mathbb{F}_\bullet^{\leq k}) \neq 0 \text{ for some } k\}.\end{aligned}$$

Moreover, for the duration of this proof we set

$$\begin{aligned}\overline{\mathrm{ld}}(M) &:= \sup\{i : \nu_i^1(M) \neq 0\} \\ &= \sup\{i : H_{i-1}(\mathbb{F}_\bullet^{\leq k}) \neq 0 \text{ for some } k\},\end{aligned}$$

where the equality follows from Lemma 3.6. First, we argue that $\overline{\mathrm{ld}}(M) \geq \mathrm{ld}(M)$. For this, choose a $j \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $H_j(\mathbb{F}_\bullet^{\leq k+1}/\mathbb{F}_\bullet^{\leq k}) \neq 0$ for some k. By considering the long exact sequence associated to

$$0 \to \mathbb{F}_\bullet^{\leq k} \to \mathbb{F}_\bullet^{\leq k+1} \to \mathbb{F}_\bullet^{\leq k+1}/\mathbb{F}_\bullet^{\leq k} \to 0,$$

we see that either $H_j(\mathbb{F}_\bullet^{\leq k+1})$ or $H_{j-1}(\mathbb{F}_\bullet^{\leq k})$ is nonzero, and thus $\overline{\mathrm{ld}}(M) \geq (j-1)+1 = j$. Thus, if $\mathrm{ld}(M) < \infty$ we can choose $j = \mathrm{ld}(M)$ and conclude that $\overline{\mathrm{ld}}(M) \geq \mathrm{ld}(M)$. If $\mathrm{ld}(M) = \infty$, we can choose arbitrary large values of j, and thus $\overline{\mathrm{ld}}(M) = \infty$ as well.

For the other inequality, choose an $i \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $H_{i-1}(\mathbb{F}_\bullet^{\leq k}) \neq 0$ for some k. As $\mathbb{F}_\bullet$ is exact and only finitely many strands contribute to $\mathbb{F}_i$, we may assume that k is the maximal number with this property. Consider the following part of the long exact sequence mentioned above:

$$H_i(\mathbb{F}_\bullet^{\leq k+1}/\mathbb{F}_\bullet^{\leq k}) \longrightarrow H_{i-1}(\mathbb{F}_\bullet^{\leq k}) \longrightarrow H_{i-1}(\mathbb{F}_\bullet^{\leq k+1})$$

The module on the right vanishes because our choice of k and the one in the middle is nonzero by assumption. Hence $H_i(\mathbb{F}_\bullet^{\leq k+1}/\mathbb{F}_\bullet^{\leq k}) \neq 0$ and thus $\mathrm{ld}(M) \geq i$. As before, it follows that $\mathrm{ld}(M) \geq \overline{\mathrm{ld}}(M)$. □

4. Stanley-Reisner rings

Throughout this section, we restrict our attention to the case $J = 0$ and thus $R = S$. Let Δ be a simplicial complex with vertex set $[n]$. In this section we study the linear part $\mathrm{lin}(\mathbb{F}_\bullet)$ of the minimal free resolution $\mathbb{F}_\bullet$ of its Stanley-Reisner ring $\Bbbk[\Delta]$ over S.

Recall that the *Stanley-Reisner ideal* of Δ is defined as $I_\Delta := \langle \boldsymbol{x}^U : U \subseteq [n], U \notin \Delta \rangle$, where $\boldsymbol{x}^U := \prod_{i \in U} x_i$. Further, the Stanley-Reisner ring is $\Bbbk[\Delta] := S/I_\Delta$. Every squarefree monomial ideal arises as the Stanley-Reisner ideal of some simplicial complex.

4.1. **Simplicial chains and cochains.** For the convenience of the reader, we recall the definitions of the chain and cochain complexes of a simplicial complex Δ. For keeping track of the signs, we use the notation

$$\alpha(A, B) := \#\{(a, b) : \ a > b, a \in A, b \in B\}$$

for subsets $A, B \subseteq [n]$. We further set $\alpha(a, B) = \alpha(\{a\}, B)$. The *(augmented oriented) chain complex* of Δ is the complex of $\Bbbk$-vector spaces $\widetilde{C}_\bullet(\Delta)$, where $\widetilde{C}_d(\Delta)$ is the $\Bbbk$-vector space spanned by the d-faces of Δ, and the differential is given by

$$\partial(F) = \sum_{i \in F} (-1)^{\alpha(i,F)} F \setminus i.$$

Here, we consider the empty set as the unique face of dimension -1. Note that we use the natural order on $[n]$ in the definition of $\alpha(i, F)$. The (reduced) *simplicial homology* of Δ is $\widetilde{H}_*(\Delta) := \widetilde{H}_*(\Delta; \Bbbk) := H_*(\widetilde{C}_\bullet(\Delta))$. The *(augmented oriented) cochain complex* of Δ is the dual complex $\widetilde{C}^\bullet(\Delta) := \hom_\Bbbk(\widetilde{C}_\bullet(\Delta), \Bbbk)$. We write $F^* \in \widetilde{C}^d(\Delta)$ for the basis element dual to a d-face $F \in \Delta$. In this basis, the differential on $\widetilde{C}^\bullet(\Delta)$ can be written as

$$\partial(F^*) = \sum_{i \in [n] \setminus F} (-1)^{\alpha(i,F)} (F \cup i)^*.$$

Here, we adopt the convention that $(F \cup i)^* = 0$ if $F \cup i \notin \Delta$. The (reduced) *simplicial cohomology* of Δ is $\widetilde{H}^*(\Delta) := \widetilde{H}^*(\Delta; \Bbbk) := H^*(\widetilde{C}^\bullet(\Delta))$.

For a subcomplex $\Gamma \subseteq \Delta$, there are an inclusion map $\iota : \widetilde{C}_\bullet(\Gamma) \to \widetilde{C}_\bullet(\Delta)$ and a restriction map $\widetilde{C}^\bullet(\Delta) \to \widetilde{C}^\bullet(\Gamma)$. If $\omega \in \widetilde{C}^\bullet(\Delta)$ is a cochain and $U \subseteq [n]$, then we write $\omega|_U$ for the restriction of ω to Δ_U.

4.2. **The linear part of the resolution of a Stanley-Reisner ring.** Our next goal is to prove Theorem 1.1. We are going to need an explicit version of Hochster's formula. This is of course well-known, but we give the details for the convenience of the reader. Let $V = \mathrm{span}_\Bbbk\{e_1, \ldots, e_n\}$ be an n-dimensional $\Bbbk$-vector space and let $\Lambda^\bullet V$ denote the exterior algebra over it. For $F = \{i_1, \ldots, i_r\} \subseteq [n]$ with $i_1, \ldots, i_r$, we set $\mathbf{e}_F := e_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{i_r}$. Then $\Bbbk[\Delta] \otimes_\Bbbk \Lambda^\bullet V$ is the Koszul complex of $\Bbbk[\Delta]$.

Proposition 4.1 ([Hoc77]). *For each squarefree multidegree $U \subseteq [n]$, there is an isomorphism of complexes $(\Bbbk[\Delta] \otimes_\Bbbk \Lambda^\bullet V)_U \longrightarrow \widetilde{C}^{\#U-1-\bullet}(\Delta_U)$, given by $\boldsymbol{x}^F \otimes \mathbf{e}_{U\setminus F} \mapsto (-1)^{\alpha(F,U)} F^*$.*

Proof. It suffices to show that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \boldsymbol{x}^F \otimes \mathbf{e}_{U\setminus F} & \longrightarrow & \displaystyle\sum_{i\in U\setminus F} (-1)^{\alpha(i,U\setminus F)} \boldsymbol{x}^F x_i \otimes \mathbf{e}_{U\setminus(F\cup i)} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ (-1)^{\alpha(F,U)} F^* & \longrightarrow & (-1)^{\alpha(F,U)} \displaystyle\sum_{i\in U\setminus F} (-1)^{\alpha(i,F)} (F\cup i)^* \end{array}$$

We only need to show that $\alpha(F,U) + \alpha(i,F) \equiv \alpha(i, U\setminus F) + \alpha(F\cup i, U)$ modulo 2. This follows from the following computation:

$$\alpha(F\cup i, U) - \alpha(F,U) = \alpha(i,U) = \alpha(i,F) + \alpha(i, U\setminus F)$$

□

Now we turn to the proof or Theorem 1.1, which we restate for convenience.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $\Bbbk[\Delta]$ be the Stanley-Reisner ring of a simplicial complex Δ and let $\mathbb{F}_\bullet$ denote its minimal free resolution. The linear part $\operatorname{lin}(\mathbb{F}_\bullet)$ of $\mathbb{F}_\bullet$ is isomorphic to the complex with modules*

$$\operatorname{lin}(\mathbb{F}_i) = \bigoplus_{U\subseteq[n]} \widetilde{H}^{\#U-i-1}(\Delta_U) \otimes_\Bbbk S(-U),$$

and the components of differential are given by

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \widetilde{H}^j(\Delta_U) \otimes_\Bbbk S(-U) & \longrightarrow & \widetilde{H}^j(\Delta_{U\setminus u}) \otimes_\Bbbk S(-U\setminus u) \\ \omega \otimes s & \longmapsto & (-1)^{\alpha(u,U)} \omega|_{U\setminus u} \otimes x_u s \end{array}$$

Proof. We follow the arguments of the proof of [Yan00, Theorem 4.1].

Following [HSV83] and [EFS03, p. 107–109], we consider the double complex $(\mathcal{L}_{\bullet,\bullet}, \partial, \partial')$, whose modules are given by $\mathcal{L}_{a,b} := \Bbbk[\Delta] \otimes_\Bbbk \Lambda^a V \otimes_\Bbbk S_b$ and the differentials are

$$\begin{aligned} \partial(s_1 \otimes \mathbf{e}_F \otimes s_2) &:= \sum_{i\in F} (-1)^{\alpha(i,F)} s_1 x_i \otimes \mathbf{e}_{F\setminus i} \otimes \quad s_2 \\ \partial'(s_1 \otimes \mathbf{e}_F \otimes s_2) &:= \sum_{i\in F} (-1)^{\alpha(i,F)} s_1 \quad \otimes \mathbf{e}_{F\setminus i} \otimes x_i s_2 \end{aligned}$$

It is not difficult to see that the homology of $(\mathcal{L}_{\bullet,\bullet}, \partial)$ is isomorphic to $\operatorname{Tor}^S_\bullet(\Bbbk[\Delta], \Bbbk) \otimes_\Bbbk S$. By [HSV83, Theorem 5.1], the linear part of the minimal free resolution is induced by ∂'.

Consider the sub-double complex $\mathcal{L}'_{a,b} := \bigoplus_{\sigma\in[n]}(\Bbbk[\Delta]\otimes_\Bbbk \Lambda^a V)_\sigma \otimes_\Bbbk S_b$ of $\mathcal{L}_{\bullet,\bullet}$. As $\operatorname{Tor}^S_\bullet(\Bbbk[\Delta],\Bbbk)$ is non-zero in squarefree degrees only [MS05, Cor. 1.40], both $\mathcal{L}'_{\bullet,\bullet}$ and $\mathcal{L}_{\bullet,\bullet}$ have the same homology with respect to ∂.

By Proposition 4.1, $(\mathcal{L}'_{\bullet,\bullet},\partial)$ is isomorphic to $\bigoplus_{U\subseteq[n]} \widetilde{C}^{\#U-1-\bullet}(\Delta_U)\otimes_\Bbbk S(-U)$, where ∂' translates to the map

$$\begin{aligned}\widetilde{C}^j(\Delta_U)\otimes_\Bbbk S(-U) &\longrightarrow \bigoplus_{u\in U}\widetilde{C}^j(\Delta_{U\setminus u})\otimes_\Bbbk S(-U\setminus u)\\ F^*\otimes s &\longmapsto \sum_{u\in U}(-1)^{\alpha(u,F)}F^*|_{U\setminus u}\otimes x_u s\end{aligned}$$

Now the claim follows by taking homology with respect to ∂ and applying [HSV83, Theorem 5.1]. □

A particularly simple case of Theorem 1.1 is the following:

Corollary 4.2. *Let $I\subseteq S$ be a monomial ideal and let $\mathbb{F}_\bullet$ be its minimal free resolution. Then one can choose a basis of $\mathbb{F}_\bullet$ such that the maps in its 2-linear strand have only coefficients in $\{-1,0,1\}$.*

See Conjecture 5.6 for a conjectural improvement of this result.

Proof. We may assume that I is squarefree by replacing it with its polarization [MS05, p. 44]. So it is the Stanley-Reisner ideal of some simplicial complex Δ. By Theorem 1.1, maps in the 2-linear strand of its minimal free resolution are induced by the restriction maps $\widetilde{H}^0(\Delta_U)\to\widetilde{H}^0(\Delta_{U\setminus u})$ for each $u\in U$.

For each subset $U\subseteq[n]$ we choose a distinguished connected component $C_{U,0}$ of Δ_U. For each other connected component $C_{U,i}$ of it, let $e_{U,i}: U\to\Bbbk$ the function which is 1 in the vertices of $C_{U,i}$ and 0 on the others. It is clear that the set $\{e_{U,i} : i>0\}$ forms a basis of $\widetilde{H}^0(\Delta_U)$.

We claim that in this basis, the differential has coefficients ±1. For $i>0$ there are the following cases:

(1) $C_{U,i}=C_{U\setminus u,j}$ for some $j>0$,
(2) $C_{U,i}=C_{U\setminus u,0}$,
(3) $C_{U,i}$ splits into several connected components $C_{U\setminus u,j_1},\dots,C_{U\setminus u,j_r}$ of $\Delta_{U\setminus u}$ with $j_1,\dots,j_r>0$,
(4) same as (3), with $j_1=0$,
(5) $C_{U,i}$ is the isolated vertex u.

In each case, it is not difficult to see that $e_{U,i}$ is mapped to a linear combination of the $e_{U\setminus u,j}$ with coefficients in $\{-1,0,1\}$. □

4.3. **Linearity defect and componentwise linearity of Stanley-Reisner ideals.** We now apply the result of the previous section together with Theorem 1.3 to describe the linearity defect of Stanley-Reisner ideals.

Corollary 4.3. *Let Δ be a simplicial complex on the vertex set $[n]$ with Stanley-Reisner ideal I_Δ. Then the linearity defect of I_Δ is given by the maximal number i with the following property: There exists a subset $U \subseteq [n]$ and a nonzero cohomology class $\omega \in \widetilde{H}^{\#U-i-2}(\Delta_U)$ such that all restrictions $\omega|_{U\setminus u}$ for $u \in U$ vanish.*

Proof. Let $\mathbb{F}_\bullet$ denote the minimal free resolution of I_Δ. It follows from Theorem 1.3 and Lemma 3.5 that the linearity defect of I_Δ is the maximal i such that there exists an element $g \in \mathbb{F}_i$ with $g \notin \mathfrak{m}\mathbb{F}_i$ and $\mathrm{d}g \in \mathfrak{m}^2\mathbb{F}_{i-1}$. In other words, it is the maximal i, such that there exists an element $g \in \operatorname{lin}(\mathbb{F}_i)$ with $g \notin \mathfrak{m}\operatorname{lin}(\mathbb{F}_i)$ and $\mathrm{d}g = 0$.

Since $\operatorname{lin}(\mathbb{F}_\bullet)$ is generated in squarefree multidegrees as S-module, we only need to consider squarefree multidegrees. It follows from Theorem 1.1 that in a squarefree degree $U \subseteq [n]$, d is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{H}^j(\Delta_U) &\longrightarrow \bigoplus_{u\in U} \widetilde{H}^j(\Delta_{U\setminus u}) \\ \omega &\longmapsto \sum_{u\in U} \omega|_{U\setminus u} \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

where $j = \#U - i - 2$. The claim describes exactly the maximal i where this is not injective. □

We illustrate the last proposition in the case of a one-dimensional simplicial complex.

Example 4.4. Let Δ be a one-dimensional simplicial complex, i.e. a graph. For simplicity we assume that every element of $[n]$ is indeed a vertex of Δ, so its Stanley-Reisner ideal contains no variables. We only need to consider $\widetilde{H}^0(\Delta_U)$ and $\widetilde{H}^1(\Delta_U)$ for $U \subseteq [n]$. However, zero-dimensional cohomology classes $\omega \in \widetilde{H}^0(\Delta_U)$ always admit a vertex $u \in U$ such that $\omega|_{U\setminus u} \neq 0$, unless $\#U = 2$. This amounts to the fact that the differential of $\mathbb{F}_\bullet^{\leq 2}$ is linear except in homological degree 1.

Further, the subsets $U \subseteq [n]$ such that there is a class $\omega \in \widetilde{H}^1(\Delta_U)$ all of whose restrictions vanish are exactly the induced chordless cycles of Δ. For such a U, note that $1 = \#U - i - 2$ and so $i = \#U - 3$. In conclusion, we obtain that

$$\operatorname{ld}(I_\Delta) = (\text{maximal length of an induced chordless cycle}) - 3.$$

In particular, we recover Fröbergs theorem [Frö90] that $(I_\Delta)_{\langle 2\rangle}$ has a linear resolution if and only if Δ is chordal, i.e. every induced cycle has a chord.

Finally, we can prove Corollary 1.4. Let us recall the statement.

Corollary 1.4. *Let Δ be a simplicial complex. The following are equivalent:*

1. *The Stanley-Reisner ideal I_Δ is componentwise linear.*
2. *For every subset $U \subseteq [n]$ and every $\omega \in \widetilde{H}^*(\Delta_U), \omega \neq 0$, the following holds: If $\#U > \dim\omega + 2$, then there exists a vertex $u \in U$ such that the restriction $\omega|_{U\setminus u} \in \widetilde{H}^*(\Delta_{U\setminus u})$ is nonzero.*

(3) *For every subset $U \subseteq [n]$, each homology class $[z] \in \widetilde{H}_*(\Delta_U)$ is represented by a sum of complete cycles.*
(4) *Each cycle $z \in \widetilde{C}_\bullet(\Delta)$ can be written as a sum of complete cycles, using only vertices from the support of z.*

Recall that a cycle $z \in \widetilde{C}_\bullet(\Delta)$ is *complete* if it is the boundary of a simplex, and its *support* is the set of all vertices of all faces appearing in z.

Proof. (1) $\Leftrightarrow$ (2) This is immediate from Corollary 4.3.

(2) $\Leftrightarrow$ (3) Since we are working over a field, the map in Eq. (1) is injective if and only if the dual map

$$\bigoplus_{u \in U} \widetilde{H}_i(\Delta_{U \setminus u}) \longrightarrow \widetilde{H}_i(\Delta_U)$$
$$([z_1], \ldots, [z_r]) \longmapsto \sum_{u \in U} \iota([z_u])$$

is surjective. Using induction on the size of the support of the cycle, one easily sees that the latter is equivalent to condition (3).

(3) $\Rightarrow$ (4) Consider a cycle $z \in \widetilde{C}_\bullet(\Delta)$ and let $U \subseteq [n]$ be the set of vertices present in it. By (3), the class $[z] \in \widetilde{H}_*(\Delta_U)$ of z can be written as a sum of complete cycles, using only vertices in U. In other words, there exist a $z' \in \widetilde{C}_\bullet(\Delta_U)$ which is a sum of complete cycles and homologous to z. Then $z - z'$ is a boundary in $\widetilde{C}_\bullet(\Delta_U)$, and thus a sum of boundaries of simplices.

(4) $\Rightarrow$ (3) Let $U \subseteq [n]$ and $[z] \in \widetilde{H}_*(\Delta_U)$. Then z can be written as a sum of complete cycles, using only vertices in the support of z, and thus in $\widetilde{H}_*(\Delta_U)$.

□

Remark 4.5. The equivalence of (1) and (4) can also be proven using the results of [ANS16]. In fact, [ANS16, Theorem 5.1] implicitly gives a characterization of componentwise linearity in terms of *resolution chordality*. By [ANS16, Fact 3.1], this can be translated into a condition in terms of *decomposition chordality*, which is essentially equivalent to part (4) of Corollary 1.4.

5. Questions and open problems

In this section we give several questions and open problems related to the topics of this article.

5.1. **Affine monoid algebras.** Recall that a (positive) affine monoid $Q \subseteq \mathbb{N}^n$ is a finitely generated submonoid of $\mathbb{N}^n$. The monoid algebras $\Bbbk[Q]$ of affine monoids form a well-studied class of algebras. We refer the reader to [MS05] or [BH98, Chapter 6] for more information on these rings. Each positive affine monoid has a unique minimal generating set, which is called its *Hilbert basis*. It yields a set of generators

for $\Bbbk[Q]$ and thus a surjection $S \to \Bbbk[Q]$ from a polynomial ring S. Moreover, $\Bbbk[Q]$ carried a natural $\mathbb{N}^n$-multigrading.

There is a combinatorial interpretation of the multigraded Betti numbers of $\Bbbk[Q]$, namely $\operatorname{Tor}_i^S(\Bbbk[Q],\Bbbk)_{\mathbf{a}} \cong \widetilde{H}_i(\Delta_{\mathbf{a}})$ for a certain simplicial complex $\Delta_{\mathbf{a}}$, see [MS05, Theorem 9.2].

Question 5.1. Is there a topological interpretation of the linear part of the minimal free resolution of $\Bbbk[Q]$ over S?

In this situation, a description along the lines of Theorem 1.1 would require a map $\widetilde{H}_i(\Delta_{\mathbf{a}}) \to \widetilde{H}_{i-1}(\Delta_{\mathbf{a}-\mathbf{b}})$, where $\mathbf{b}$ is an element of the Hilbert basis such that $\mathbf{a}-\mathbf{b} \in Q$. In this situation, $\Delta_{\mathbf{a}-\mathbf{b}}$ is a subcomplex of $\Delta_{\mathbf{a}}$, but it is neither a restriction nor a link.

5.2. **Approximations of resolutions.** Let $I_\Delta \subseteq S$ be the Stanley-Reisner ideal of some simplicial complex Δ and let $\mathbb{F}_\bullet$ denote the minimal free resolution of I_Δ. Hochster's formula can be interpreted as giving a description of the complex $\mathbb{F}_\bullet/\mathfrak{m}\mathbb{F}_\bullet$ (with trivial differential). Our Theorem 1.1 extends this by (essentially) describing $\mathbb{F}_\bullet/\mathfrak{m}^2\mathbb{F}_\bullet$. There results can be considered as successive approximations of $\mathbb{F}_\bullet$, so the following question seems natural:

Question 5.2. Is there a combinatorial or topological description of $\mathbb{F}_\bullet/\mathfrak{m}^3\mathbb{F}_\bullet$?

This seems to be substantially more difficult than describing $\mathbb{F}_\bullet/\mathfrak{m}^2\mathbb{F}_\bullet$. One reason for this is the following. Even though a minimal free resolution is unique up to isomorphism, if one wants to write it down explicitly one needs to choose an S-basis for $\mathbb{F}_\bullet$. This choice can be done in two steps. First choose a $\Bbbk$-basis for $\mathbb{F}_\bullet/\mathfrak{m}\mathbb{F}_\bullet = \operatorname{Tor}_*(S/I_\Delta,\Bbbk)$, and then choose a lifting of these elements to $\mathbb{F}_\bullet$ (any such lifting works due to Nakayama's lemma). Hochster's formula is a convenient tool for the first choice. In Theorem 1.1, it turned out that the differential of $\mathbb{F}_\bullet/\mathfrak{m}^2\mathbb{F}_\bullet$ does not depend on the second choice, but this is no longer true for $\mathbb{F}_\bullet/\mathfrak{m}^3\mathbb{F}_\bullet$.

5.3. **Homology of the linear part and products in the** Tor**-algebra.** Let M be a finitely generated $\mathbb{Z}$-graded R-module with minimal free resolution $\mathbb{F}_\bullet$. Consider the short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathfrak{m}\mathbb{F}_\bullet/\mathfrak{m}^2\mathbb{F}_\bullet \longrightarrow \mathbb{F}_\bullet/\mathfrak{m}^2\mathbb{F}_\bullet \longrightarrow \mathbb{F}_\bullet/\mathfrak{m}\mathbb{F}_\bullet \longrightarrow 0$$

and the corresponding long exact sequence

$$\cdots \longrightarrow H_i(\mathbb{F}_\bullet/\mathfrak{m}^2\mathbb{F}_\bullet) \xrightarrow{\nu_i^1(M)} H_i(\mathbb{F}_\bullet/\mathfrak{m}\mathbb{F}_\bullet) \xrightarrow{\varphi_i} H_{i-1}(\mathfrak{m}\mathbb{F}_\bullet/\mathfrak{m}^2\mathbb{F}_\bullet) \longrightarrow \cdots$$

Note that the differential on both $\mathfrak{m}\mathbb{F}_\bullet/\mathfrak{m}^2\mathbb{F}_\bullet$ and $\mathbb{F}_\bullet/\mathfrak{m}\mathbb{F}_\bullet$ is zero, so the connecting homomorphism φ_i is actually a map $\varphi_i : \mathbb{F}_i/\mathfrak{m}\mathbb{F}_i \longrightarrow \mathfrak{m}\mathbb{F}_{i-1}/\mathfrak{m}^2\mathbb{F}_{i-1}$. In fact, it is not difficult to see that this map is part of the differential of $\operatorname{lin}(\mathbb{F}_\bullet)$. For this, recall that $\operatorname{lin}(\mathbb{F}_\bullet)$ is the associated graded complex with respect to a filtration by powers

of the maximal ideal. Thus, the image of $\nu_i^1(M)$ equals the kernel of φ_i, and this is contained in $H_i(\operatorname{lin}(\mathbb{F}_\bullet))$. Motivated by Lemma 3.6, we ask the following:

Question 5.3. Is $H_*(\operatorname{lin}(\mathbb{F}_\bullet))$ generated as R-module by the images of $\nu_i^1(M)$?

Consider the case that $M = I \subset S$ is a homogeneous ideal containing no linear forms. It is known that if I is componentwise linear, then S/I is a Golod ring [HRW99]. Recall that a ring is called *Golod* if the algebra structure on its Koszul homology is trivial, and all higher Massey products vanish. We refer the reader to [Avr98] for more information about these notions. In view of Theorem 1.3, the following seems natural to ask:

Question 5.4. What is the relation between Massey products in $\operatorname{Tor}_*^S(S/I, \Bbbk)$ and the images of $\nu_i^1(M)$? More precisely, if z is a nonzero Massey product of minimal homological degree, is z in the image of some $\nu_i^1(M)$?

The following observation shows that the latter indeed holds for the ordinary product on $\operatorname{Tor}_*^S(S/I, \Bbbk)$:

Proposition 5.5. *Let $a, b \in \operatorname{Tor}_*^S(S/I, \Bbbk)$ be homogeneous elements of homological degrees i_1 and i_2, respectively. Assume that $a \cdot b \neq 0$ and there is no nonzero product of smaller homologial degree. Then $a \cdot b$ is contained in the image of $\nu_{i_1+i_2}^1(S/I)$.*

Proof. Let $\mathbb{F}_\bullet$ be a minimal free resolution of S/I and choose a multiplicative structure on it, i.e. a (non-commutative, non-associative) multiplication $\mathbb{F}_\bullet \otimes_S \mathbb{F}_\bullet \to \mathbb{F}_\bullet$ which induces the multiplication on $\operatorname{Tor}_*^S(S/I, \Bbbk) = H_*(\mathbb{F}_\bullet \otimes_S \Bbbk)$. Such a multiplication always exists [BE77]. Choose representatives $a' \in \mathbb{F}_{i_1}$ and $b' \in \mathbb{F}_{i_2}$ of a and b, respectively. Further, choose an S-basis of $\mathcal{B}$ consisting of homogeneous elements and expand $\mathrm{d}a'$ and $\mathrm{d}b$ in this basis:

$$\mathrm{d}a = \sum_{g \in \mathcal{B}} a_g g \qquad \mathrm{d}b = \sum_{g \in \mathcal{B}} b_g g$$

As $a' \cdot b' + \mathfrak{m} = a \cdot b \neq 0$ in $H_*(\mathbb{F}_\bullet/\mathfrak{m}\mathbb{F}_\bullet)$, it holds that $a' \cdot b' \notin \mathfrak{m}\mathbb{F}_{i_1+i_2}$. On the other hand, we compute that

$$\mathrm{d}(a' \cdot b') = \sum_{g \in \mathcal{B}} a_g g \cdot b \pm \sum_{g \in \mathcal{B}} b_g a \cdot g.$$

Now, each a_g and b_g is contained in $\mathfrak{m}\mathbb{F}_\bullet$, and each product $g \cdot b$ or $a \cdot g$ has strictly smaller homological degree than $a' \cdot b'$, so they are zero modulo $\mathfrak{m}$, and thus also contained in $\mathfrak{m}$. It follows that $\mathrm{d}(a' \cdot b') \in \mathfrak{m}^2\mathbb{F}_\bullet$. Hence $a \cdot b$ is contained in the image of $\nu_{i_1+i_2}^1(S/I)$. □

5.4. **Coefficients in resolutions.** Let $I \subseteq S$ be a monomial ideal containing no variables with minimal free resolution $\mathbb{F}_\bullet$. We saw in Corollary 4.2 that the differential on $\mathbb{F}_\bullet^{\leq 2}$ can be written using only coefficients ± 1. On the other hand, in [RW01, Section 5] Reiner and Welker gave an example where the differential on the complex

$\mathbb{F}_\bullet^{\leq 4}$ cannot be written using only coefficients ± 1. We believe that their example is optimal in that sense, and hence offer the following conjecture.

Conjecture 5.6. Let $I \subseteq S$ be a monomial ideal. Then it is possible to choose a basis for its minimal free resolution $\mathbb{F}_\bullet$, such that the differential on $\mathbb{F}_\bullet^{\leq 3}$ can be written using only coefficients ± 1.

Note that the first map in $\mathbb{F}_\bullet$, $\mathrm{d} : \mathbb{F}_1 \to \mathbb{F}_0$, can always be written using coefficients from $\{-1, 0, 1\}$. This is easily seen by considering the Taylor resolution. Further, it is not difficult to explicitly give a basis for $\mathbb{F}_2$ such that the differential $\mathrm{d} : \mathbb{F}_2 \to \mathbb{F}_1$ has coefficients ± 1.

References

[ANS16] K. A. Adiprasito, E. Nevo, and J. A. Samper. "Higher chordality: from graphs to complexes". In: *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 144.8 (2016), pp. 3317–3329.

[Avr98] L. L. Avramov. "Infinite free resolutions". In: *Six lectures on commutative algebra*. Springer, 1998, pp. 1–118.

[BH98] W. Bruns and J. Herzog. *Cohen-Macaulay rings*. Cambridge University Press, 1998.

[BE77] D. A. Buchsbaum and D. Eisenbud. "Algebra structures for finite free resolutions, and some structure theorems for ideals of codimension 3". In: *Amer. J. Math.* 99.3 (1977), pp. 447–485.

[Che10] R.-X. Chen. "Minimal free resolutions of linear edge ideals". In: *J. Algebra* 324.12 (2010), pp. 3591–3613.

[EFS03] D. Eisenbud, G. Fløystad, and F.-O. Schreyer. "Sheaf cohomology and free resolutions over exterior algebras". In: *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 355.11 (2003), pp. 4397–4426.

[Frö90] R. Fröberg. "On Stanley-Reisner rings". In: *Topics in algebra, Part 2 (Warsaw, 1988)*. Vol. 26. Banach Center Publ. PWN, Warsaw, 1990, pp. 57–70.

[HSV83] J. Herzog, A. Simis, and W. V. Vasconcelos. "Approximation complexes of blowing-up rings, II". In: *J. Algebra* 82.1 (1983), pp. 53 –83.

[HH99] J. Herzog and T. Hibi. "Componentwise linear ideals". In: *Nagoya Math. J.* 153 (1999), pp. 141–153.

[HI05] J. Herzog and S. Iyengar. "Koszul modules". In: *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* 201.1-3 (2005), pp. 154–188.

[HRW99] J. Herzog, V. Reiner, and V. Welker. "Componentwise linear ideals and Golod rings". In: *Michigan Math. J* 46.2 (1999), pp. 211–223.

[Hoc77] M. Hochster. "Cohen-Macaulay rings, combinatorics, and simplicial complexes". In: (1977), 171–223. Lecture Notes in Pure and Appl. Math., Vol. 26.

[Hor07] N. Horwitz. "Linear resolutions of quadratic monomial ideals". In: *J. Algebra* 318.2 (2007), pp. 981–1001.

[IR09] S. B. Iyengar and T. Römer. "Linearity defects of modules over commutative rings". In: *J. Algebra* 322.9 (2009), pp. 3212–3237.

[MS05] E. Miller and B. Sturmfels. *Combinatorial commutative algebra*. Springer, 2005.

[Ngu15] H. D. Nguyen. "Notes on the linearity defect and applications". In: *Illinois J. Math.* 59.3 (2015), pp. 637–662.

[NV16] H. D. Nguyen and T. Vu. "Linearity defect of edge ideals and Fröberg's theorem". In: *J. Algebraic Combin.* 44.1 (2016), pp. 165–199.

[OY07] R. Okazaki and K. Yanagawa. "Linearity defects of face rings". In: *J. Algebra* 314.1 (2007), pp. 362–382.

[RW01] V. Reiner and V. Welker. "Linear syzygies of Stanley-Reisner ideals". In: *Math. Scand.* 89.1 (2001), pp. 117–132.

[Röm01] T. Römer. "On minimal graded free resolutions". Dissertation. Essen, 2001.

[Şeg13] L. M. Şega. "On the linearity defect of the residue field". In: *J. Algebra* 384 (2013), pp. 276–290.

[Yan00] K. Yanagawa. "Alexander Duality for Stanley–Reisner rings and square-free $\mathbb{N}^n$-graded modules". In: *J. Algebra* 225.2 (2000), pp. 630 –645.

[Yan09] K. Yanagawa. "Linearity defect and regularity over a Koszul algebra". In: *Math. Scand.* 104.2 (2009), pp. 205–220.

School of Mathematics, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, MN 55455, USA
E-mail address: katth001@umn.edu